## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

FUSO IN CLERK'S OFFICE

MATTHEW COLE LINDEN,

JAN 23 2006

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION NO.

JEC.

v.

1:05-CV-1759-JEC

KEITH RODBELL,

Defendant.

## ORDER

The above entitled action is presently before the Court on defendant's Motion to Dismiss [5]. The Court notes that on October 5, 2005, plaintiffs' filed a Motion for Extension of Time to respond to defendant's motion [8]. On November 3, 2005, the Court granted plaintiffs' motion for extension of time until October 24, 2005 [13]. As of this date, plaintiffs have still not filed a response to the defendant's motion to dismiss.

Nevertheless, on October 24, 2005, the plaintiffs filed their First Amended Complaint [10]. The Court assumes that the Amended Complaint addressed the defects identified by defendant Rodbell in his motion to dismiss. In any event, defendant has not moved to dismiss the Amended Complaint.

## Case 1:05-cv-01759-JEC Document 18 Filed 01/23/06 Page 2 of 2

Accordingly, the Court **DENIES AS MOOT** defendant's Motion to Dismiss [5].

SO ORDERED, this 2 day of JANUARY, 2006.

JULIE E. CARNES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE